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## Executive Summary

There is a common perception that rural areas are generally safer compared to urban areas. However, many changes are occurring in rural Nebraska. Increased media attention has been given to methamphetamine use in rural areas of the state. Given all that, how safe do rural Nebraskans feel? Do they believe crime has increased in their community? Do their opinions differ by their region, size of their community or their occupation?

This report details 3,087 responses to the 2003 Nebraska Rural Poll, the eighth annual effort to understand rural Nebraskans' perceptions. Respondents were asked a series of questions about personal safety. For all questions, comparisons are made among different respondent subgroups, i.e., comparisons by age, occupation, region, etc. Based on these analyses, some key findings emerged:

- Over one-third of rural Nebraskans walk alone at night and let their children walk to school alone. Thirty-seven percent generally walk alone at night and 34 percent let their children walk to school alone. Less than one-third of rural Nebraskans generally do the following: let their children walk downtown alone (32\%), leave their house unlocked when they leave ( $27 \%$ ), leave their keys in their $\operatorname{car}(24 \%)$ and leave their house unlocked at night (20\%).
- Persons living in or near smaller communities are more likely than persons living in or near larger communities to do each of the items listed above. As an example, 52 percent of the persons living in or near communities with less than 500 people let their children walk downtown alone. But, only 16 percent of the persons living in or near communities with populations of 10,000 or more allow their children to do so.
- Most rural Nebraskans believe that rural areas are generally safer than urban areas. Sixty-nine percent believe that the threat of terrorism in rural areas is less than that in urban areas. And, 60 percent think more people will move to rural areas from urban areas in the next ten years because they believe rural areas are safer.
- Most rural Nebraskans rely on their neighbors to help watch their property when they are away. Seventy-two percent agree that when they are away from home, they count on their neighbors to watch their property.
- The majority of rural Nebraskans believe that drugs are a problem in their community. Seventy-six percent agree with this statement.
- Over one-half of rural Nebraskans say that crime has increased in their community compared to five years ago. Fifty-eight percent agree that crime has increased in their community.
- Persons living in or near the largest communities in the state are more likely than the
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persons living in or near the smaller communities to agree that crime has increased in their community. Seventy-three percent of the persons living in or near communities with populations of 10,000 or more agree that crime has increased in their community compared to five years ago. However, only 44 percent of the persons living in communities with populations ranging from 500 to 999 share this opinion.

- Residents of the South Central region are more likely than persons living in different areas of the state to say that various crimes are problems in their community. Residents of this region are most likely to agree that crime has increased in their community compared to five years ago. And, they are the regional group most likely to say that the following types of crime are a problem in their community: theft, drugs and violent crime.
- Persons living in or near the largest communities in the state are more likely than the persons living in or near the smaller communities to say that various crimes are a problem in their community. The persons living in or near the largest communities are more likely than the persons living in or near smaller communities to say that theft, drugs, juvenile delinquency and violent crime are problems in their community. As an example, 44 percent of the persons living in or near communities with populations of 10,000 or more agree that violent crime is a problem in their community. In contrast, only six percent of the persons living in or near communities with less than 500 people agree.
- $\quad$ Some rural Nebraskans have made changes to their behavior because of a concern about crime. Twenty-nine percent have limited the times and places they will go by themselves and 24 percent have improved their home security. Only five percent have purchased a hand gun and one percent have enrolled in a self-defense class.
- Females are more likely than males to have limited the times or places they will go by themselves. Forty-two percent of females have made such limitations, compared to only 16 percent of males.
- Younger persons are more likely than older persons to have moved to a different community or neighborhood because of a concern about crime. Ten percent of the persons age 19 to 29 have made such a move, compared to only three percent of the persons over the age of 50 .


## Introduction

Many people believe that rural areas are generally safer than urban areas. In a study of new residents in Nebraska conducted in 1996, one of the top reasons given for moving to the state was "looking for a safer place to live." ${ }^{1}$ This belief is confirmed when examining the 2001 statistics from the Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice (the latest available at this time). According to this report, crime increased 10 percent in Omaha and six percent in Lincoln in 2001. But cities with populations between 5,000 and 99,999 had only a two percent increase that year; cities with less than 5,000 people had a four percent increase and county areas actually reported a $6 \%$ decline in crimes.

However, many changes are occurring in rural Nebraska. A common problem that is gaining more attention as of late is the use of methamphetamine in rural areas. A 2000 report from the National Institute of Justice showed that there were few rural-urban differences in the use of methamphetamine in Nebraska. ${ }^{2}$ And, meth users in the rural areas were more likely to be involved in selling it and had more prior offenses than those in Omaha.

Given all that, how safe do rural Nebraskans feel? Do they believe crime in their community has increased over the years?

[^0]What types of safety precautions have they taken in the last five years? Do their responses to these questions differ by their region, size of their community or occupation? This paper provides a detailed analysis of these questions.

The 2003 Nebraska Rural Poll is the eighth annual effort to understand rural Nebraskans' perceptions. Respondents were asked a series of questions about personal safety issues.

## Methodology and Respondent Profile

This study is based on 3,087 responses from Nebraskans living in the 87 nonmetropolitan counties in the state. A selfadministered questionnaire was mailed in February and March to approximately 6,500 randomly selected households. Metropolitan counties not included in the sample were Cass, Dakota, Douglas, Lancaster, Sarpy and Washington. The 14page questionnaire included questions pertaining to well-being, community, work, taxes, personal safety and regional cooperation. This paper reports only results from the personal safety portion of the survey.

A 48\% response rate was achieved using the total design method (Dillman, 1978). The sequence of steps used follow:

1. A pre-notification letter was sent requesting participation in the study.
2. The questionnaire was mailed with an informal letter signed by the project director approximately seven days later.
3. A reminder postcard was sent to the entire sample approximately seven days after the questionnaire had been sent.
4. Those who had not yet responded within approximately 14 days of the original
mailing were sent a replacement questionnaire.

The average respondent is 55 years of age. Seventy-three percent are married (Appendix Table $1^{3}$ ) and sixty-nine percent live within the city limits of a town or village. On average, respondents have lived in Nebraska 47 years and have lived in their current community 32 years. Fifty-three percent are living in or near towns or villages with populations less than 5,000 .

Fifty-four percent of the respondents reported their approximate household income from all sources, before taxes, for 2002 was below $\$ 40,000$. Thirty-three percent reported incomes over $\$ 50,000$. Ninety-three percent have attained at least a high school diploma.

Sixty-nine percent were employed in 2002 on a full-time, part-time, or seasonal basis.

Twenty-five percent are retired. Thirty-six percent of those employed reported working in a professional, technical or administrative occupation. Twelve percent indicated they were farmers or ranchers. The employed respondents who do not work in their home or their nearest community reported having to drive an average of 29 miles, one way, to their primary job.

## Personal Safety

To get a sense of how safe residents feel in their community or area, they were asked if they generally do any of the following items: leave their house unlocked at night, leave their house unlocked when they leave, leave their keys in their car, walk alone at night, let their children walk downtown alone, and let their children walk to school alone. Over one-third of rural Nebraskans walk alone at night and let their children walk to school alone (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Items Respondents Generally Do


[^1]Certain groups are more likely than others to do these items. Persons living in or near smaller communities are more likely than persons living in or near the larger communities to do each of these items (Appendix Table 2). For instance, 52 percent of the persons living in or near communities with less than 500 people let their children walk downtown alone. But, only 16 percent of the persons living in or near communities with 10,000 people or more allow their children to walk downtown alone.

Persons living in the North Central region of the state are more likely than persons living elsewhere to do each of the items. Fortyfive percent of the persons living in the North Central region let their children walk to school alone, compared to only 29 percent of the persons living in the South Central region of the state (see Appendix Figure 1 for the counties included in each region).

In general, persons between the ages of 40 and 49 are more likely than persons of different ages to do each of the items listed. As an example, 27 percent of the persons between 40 and 49 years of age leave their house unlocked at night. Only 13 percent of the persons age 65 and older do so.

When comparing responses by gender, males are more likely than females to do each of the items listed. Statistically significant differences by marital status are detected in the responses to four of the items. Married persons are the group most likely to leave their house unlocked when they leave and to leave their keys in their car. The respondents who have never married are the group most likely to walk alone at night. Persons who are divorced or
separated are the group most likely to leave their house unlocked at night.

Persons with higher educational levels are more likely than persons with less education to leave their house unlocked when they leave, to leave their keys in their car, and to walk alone at night. Persons with a high school diploma are the education group most likely to let their children walk downtown alone.

When comparing responses by occupation, farmers and ranchers are the group most likely to leave their house unlocked at night, to leave their house unlocked when they leave, and to leave their keys in their car. The skilled laborers are the group most likely to walk alone at night.

Differences by household income are present for only one item. Persons with higher incomes are more likely than persons with lower incomes to walk alone at night.

To further assess how safe rural Nebraskans feel in their community, they were asked the extent to which they agree or disagree with various statements (see Table 1). More than one-half of rural Nebraskans believe that rural areas are generally safer than urban areas. Specifically, they believe that the threat of terrorism in rural areas is less than that in urban areas (69\%) and that more people will move to rural areas from urban areas in the next ten years because they believe rural areas are safer ( $60 \%$ ). Trust also runs high in rural areas as 72 percent of rural Nebraskans say that when they are away from home, they count on their neighbors to watch their property. However, 76 percent also believe that drugs are a problem in their community.

Table 1. Agreement with Statements on Safety in Community

|  | Strongly <br> Disagree | Disagree | Don't <br> Know | Agree | Strongly <br> Agree |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The threat of terrorism in rural areas <br> is less than that in urban areas. | $2 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| I feel safer in my community today <br> than I did five years ago. | 7 | 49 | 27 | 15 | 2 |
| Crime has increased in my <br> community compared to 5 years ago. | 3 | 16 | 23 | 44 | 14 |
| I believe more people will move to <br> rural areas from urban areas in the <br> next ten years because they believe <br> rural areas are safer. | 2 | 15 | 23 | 49 | 11 |
| Theft or burglary is a problem in my <br> community. | 4 | 27 | 23 | 38 | 8 |
| Drugs are a problem in my <br> community. | 1 | 7 | 16 | 51 | 25 |
| Juvenile delinquency is a problem in <br> my community. | 2 | 18 | 30 | 38 | 12 |
| Violent crime is a problem in my <br> community. | 8 | 47 | 23 | 16 | 5 |
| When I am away from home, I count <br> on my neighbors to watch my <br> property. | 2 | 16 | 10 | 56 | 16 |

Differences in agreement with these statements are detected by community size, region, and various individual attributes (Appendix Table 3). Persons living in the Panhandle are more likely than persons living in different parts of the state to believe that the threat of terrorism in rural areas is less than that in urban areas. Seventy-four percent of the Panhandle residents believe that rural areas are less vulnerable to terrorism, compared to 65
percent of the Northeast residents. Other groups most likely to believe that the threat of terrorism in rural areas is less than that in urban areas include: persons with higher household incomes, persons between the ages of 30 and 64, males, persons with higher educational levels, married respondents and persons with sales occupations.

Persons living in or near communities with
populations ranging from 500 to 9,999 are more likely than the persons living in or near communities of different sizes to agree that they feel safer in their community today than they did five years ago. Approximately 19 percent of the persons living in or near these mid-sized communities agree with that statement. However, only 14 percent of the persons living in or near communities with more than 10,000 persons share this opinion.

Other groups more likely to feel safer in their community today than they did five years ago include: residents of both the Panhandle and Southeast region of the state, older persons, males, and persons with lower educational levels.

When asked if crime has increased in their community compared to five years ago, differences of opinion occur by community size, region, age and marital status. Persons living in or near the largest communities are the group most likely to agree that crime has increased in their community (Figure 2). Seventy-three percent of the persons living in or near communities with populations of 10,000 or more agree that crime has increased in their community, compared to only 44 percent of the persons living in or near communities with populations ranging from 500 to 999 .

Other groups most likely to agree that crime has increased in their community compared to five years ago include: residents of the South Central region of the state, persons between the ages of 40 and 64 , and the married respondents.

Agreement with the statement, "I believe more people will move to rural areas in the next 10 years because they believe rural areas are safer" differed by every

Figure 2. "Crime Has Increased in My Community Compared to Five Years Ago" by Community Size
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characteristic examined, except gender. Persons with sales occupations are more likely than persons with different occupations to agree with this statement. Sixty-seven percent of the persons working in sales agree with this statement, compared to 55 percent of the manual laborers or the persons working in professional, technical or administrative occupations.

The persons who are divorced or separated are the marital group most likely to agree that more people will move to rural areas in the next 10 years. Sixty-three percent of the divorced/separated respondents believe this will occur, compared to 53 percent of the persons who have never married. Other groups most likely to agree with the statement include: persons living in or near the smallest communities, residents of the Panhandle and North Central regions, persons with the lowest household incomes,
the older respondents, and persons with lower levels of education.

Persons living in or near the largest communities are more likely than the persons living in or near the smaller communities to believe that theft or burglary is a problem in their community. Sixty-four percent of the persons living in or near communities with 10,000 people or more agree that theft is a problem in their community. However, only 32 percent of the persons living in or near communities with populations ranging from 500 to 999 share this opinion.

Regional differences are also detected. Persons living in the South Central region of the state are more likely than persons living elsewhere to agree that theft is a problem in their community (Figure 3). Fifty-three percent of the South Central residents agree

with this statement. Only 37 percent of the North Central residents agree that theft is a problem there.

The other groups most likely to agree that theft is a problem in their community include persons between the ages of 40 and 64 and the divorced/separated respondents.

When asked if drugs are a problem in their community, persons living in or near the largest communities are more likely to agree that they are compared to persons living in or near the smaller communities. Eightyseven percent of the persons living in or near communities with 10,000 or more people agree that drugs are a problem in their community. In contrast, only 56 percent of the persons living in or near communities with less than 500 people agree.

Persons working in administrative support positions are more likely than persons with different occupations to agree that drugs are a problem in their community. Eighty-six percent of the persons with administrative support occupations agree with this statement, compared to 69 percent of the manual laborers.

Other groups most likely to agree that drugs are a problem include: residents of the South Central region, persons with higher household incomes, persons between the ages of 40 and 64 , respondents with higher educational levels and both the divorced/separated and married persons.

As was the case when asked about other types of crime in their community, the persons living in or near the larger communities are again more likely than the persons living in or near smaller
communities to agree that juvenile delinquency is a problem in their community. Sixty-six percent of the persons living in or near the largest communities say that juvenile delinquency is problem in their community, compared to only 33 percent of the persons living in or near communities with less than 1,000 people.

The younger respondents are more likely than the older respondents to believe juvenile delinquency is a problem in their community. Fifty-eight percent of the persons age 19 to 29 agree with this statement. Only 41 percent of the persons age 65 and older share this opinion.

When comparing responses by occupation, the persons with professional, technical or administrative occupations are the group most likely to agree with the statement. Fifty-seven percent of the professionals agree that juvenile delinquency is a problem in their community, compared to 40 percent of the farmers or ranchers.

Other groups most likely to agree with the statement include: residents of the Panhandle, persons with higher household incomes, respondents with higher educational levels and the persons who have never married.

Some groups are more likely than others to think that violent crime is a problem in their community. Persons living in or near the largest communities are more likely than the persons living in or near the smallest communities to view this as a problem. Forty-four percent of the persons living in or near the communities with populations of 10,000 or more agree that violent crime is a problem in their community, compared to only six percent of the persons living in or
near communities with less than 500 people.
When comparing the responses by region, respondents living in the South Central, Panhandle, and Northeast regions are more likely than the persons living in other regions of the state to believe violent crime is a problem in their community (Figure 4). Approximately 24 percent of the persons living in the three regions mentioned above agree with this statement, compared to only nine percent of the persons living in the Southeast region.

Other groups most likely to believe violent crime is a problem in their community include older persons and the divorced or separated respondents.

The last statement in this section asked respondents if they count on their neighbors to watch their property when they are away from home. Farmers and ranchers are the

Figure 4. "Violent Crime Is a Problem in My Community" by Region
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occupation group most likely to agree with this statement. Eighty percent of the farmers or ranchers agree that they count on their neighbors to watch their property while they are away. However, only 59 percent of the manual laborers do so.

When comparing responses by marital status, both the married and widowed respondents are more likely to agree with this statement. Approximately 74 percent of these two groups agree with this statement, compared to 60 percent of the persons who have never married.

The other groups most likely to count on their neighbors to watch their property include: persons with higher household incomes, older respondents, males and persons with the highest educational levels. Comparisons by region reveal that the residents of the Southeast region are the group least likely to agree with this
statement.
After finding out how respondents view crime in their community, they were asked if they have changed any of their behaviors as a result of their concerns. The specific question asked, "Which of the following items have you done in the last five years because you were concerned about crime?" Very few of the respondents have made such adjustments in their behavior. However, more than 20 percent have limited the places or times they will go by themselves and have improved their home security (Figure 5).

Some groups are more likely than others to have made several of these changes. Persons living in or near the largest communities are more likely than the persons living in or near the smaller communities to have done the following: limited the times or places they will go by

Figure 5. Changes Made In Response to Concern About Crime

themselves, improved their home security, stayed inside their house more often and avoided contact with people of another race.

Residents of the Northeast region are more likely than the persons living in different regions of the state to have limited the times or places they will go by themselves and avoided contact with people of another race. Panhandle residents, however, are the regional group most likely to have enrolled in a self-defense class.

Statistically significant differences by household income occur on only one item. Persons with lower household incomes are more likely than persons with higher incomes to have stayed inside their house more often.

Females are more likely than males to have limited the times or places they will go by themselves. Forty-two percent of the females had made such limitations, compared to only 16 percent of the males. Females are also more likely than males to have stayed inside their house more often. Males, however, are more likely than females to have purchased a hand gun.

Older respondents are more likely than younger respondents to have stayed inside their house more often. However, younger respondents are more likely than older respondents to have moved to a different community or neighborhood (Figure 6). Ten percent of the persons age 19 to 29 had moved because of a concern about crime, compared to only three percent of the persons over the age of 50 . The younger respondents are also more likely than the older persons to have purchased a hand gun, enrolled in a self-defense class and to have gotten a watch dog.

Figure 6. Moved to a Different Community or Neighborhood Because of Crime by Age


The widowed persons are more likely than the other marital status groups to have limited the times or places they will go by themselves and to have stayed inside their house more often.

When comparing responses by education, the persons with less education are more likely than persons with more education to have improved their home security and to have stayed inside their house more often. The persons with some college, though, are the group most likely to have gotten a watch dog.

Persons with administrative support occupations are more likely than persons with different occupations to have limited the times or places they will go by themselves. Thirty-seven percent of the persons with administrative support positions did limit when and where they would go, compared to only 17 percent of the farmers or ranchers. The skilled laborers
are more likely than the other occupation groups to have improved their home security. The manual laborers are the group most likely to have stayed inside their house more often and the farmers and ranchers are most likely to have purchased a hand gun. Persons with professional or administrative support occupations are the groups most likely to have enrolled in a self-defense class.

## Conclusion

Many rural Nebraskans still feel safe enough in their community or area to walk alone at night, to let their children walk to school alone and to let their children walk downtown alone. Persons living in or near the smaller communities are more likely than those living in or near the larger communities to do all of the items listed.

Most rural Nebraskans also feel that rural areas are generally safer than urban areas. Most feel the threat of terrorism is lower in rural areas and many believe that more people will move to rural areas in the future because they think they are safer.

Trust also remains high in the rural areas. Most rural Nebraskans say they count on their neighbors to watch their property while they are away. But, most also agree that crime has increased in their community compared to five years ago. Drugs are especially viewed as a problem in most communities.

People living in or near the larger communities and residents of the South Central region are the most likely to believe crime is a problem in their community.

However, despite their increased concerns,
the majority of rural Nebraskans have not made changes in their behavior as a result. The two most common responses to their concern about crime include limiting the times and places they will go by themselves and improving their home security. Very few had made such drastic changes as purchasing a hand gun, staying inside more often or avoiding contact with people of another race.

Appendix Figure 1. Regions of Nebraska


Appendix Table 1. Demographic Profile of Rural Poll Respondents Compared to 2000 Census

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2003 \\ \text { Poll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \\ \text { Poll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2001 \\ \text { Poll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { Poll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1999 \\ \text { Poll } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age : ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-39 | 18\% | 16\% | 17\% | 20\% | 21\% | 33\% |
| 40-64 | 51\% | 51\% | 49\% | 54\% | 52\% | 42\% |
| 65 and over | 32\% | 32\% | 33\% | 26\% | 28\% | 24\% |
| Gender: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 51\% | 36\% | 37\% | 57\% | 31\% | 51\% |
| Male | 49\% | 64\% | 63\% | 43\% | 69\% | 49\% |
| Education: ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than $9^{\text {th }}$ grade | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 7\% |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ grade (no diploma) | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 10\% |
| High school diploma (or equivalent) | 34\% | 32\% | 35\% | 34\% | 36\% | 35\% |
| Some college, no degree | 23\% | 25\% | 26\% | 28\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| Associate degree | 11\% | 10\% | 8\% | 9\% | 9\% | 7\% |
| Bachelors degree | 16\% | 16\% | 13\% | 15\% | 15\% | 11\% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 9\% | 10\% | 8\% | 9\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| Household income: ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$10,000 | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% | 3\% | 8\% | 10\% |
| \$10,000-\$19,999 | 14\% | 15\% | 16\% | 10\% | 15\% | 16\% |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 16\% | 17\% | 20\% | 15\% | 18\% | 17\% |
| \$30,000 - \$39,999 | 16\% | 17\% | 16\% | 19\% | 18\% | 15\% |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 13\% | 14\% | 14\% | 17\% | 15\% | 12\% |
| \$50,000-\$59,999 | 11\% | 11\% | 9\% | 15\% | 9\% | 10\% |
| \$60,000-\$74,999 | 11\% | 9\% | 8\% | 11\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| \$75,000 or more | 11\% | 10\% | 8\% | 11\% | 10\% | 11\% |
| Marital Status: ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 73\% | 73\% | 70\% | 95\% | 76\% | 61\% |
| Never married | 7\% | 6\% | 7\% | 0.2\% | 7\% | 22\% |
| Divorced/separated | 9\% | 9\% | 10\% | 2\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| Widowed/widower | 11\% | 12\% | 14\% | 4\% | 10\% | 8\% |

${ }^{1} 2000$ Census universe is non-metro population 20 years of age and over.
${ }^{2} 2000$ Census universe is total non-metro population.
${ }^{3} 2000$ Census universe is non-metro population 18 years of age and over.
${ }^{4} 2000$ Census universe is all non-metro households.
${ }^{5} 2000$ Census universe is non-metro population 15 years of age and over.

Appendix Table 2. Items Respondents Generally Do by Community Size, Region and Individual Attributes. Which of the following do you generally do?

|  | Leave your house unlocked at night | Leave your house unlocked when you leave | Leave your keys in your car | Walk alone at night | Let your children walk downtown alone* | Let your children walk to school alone* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community Size | $(\mathrm{n}=3006)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=3005)$ | Percent circling $(\mathrm{n}=3005)$ | each item $(\mathrm{n}=3006)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=933$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=933)$ |
| Less than 500 | 34 | 39 | 42 | 43 | 52 | 37 |
| 500-999 | 30 | 35 | 35 | 45 | 44 | 43 |
| 1,000-4,999 | 23 | 34 | 30 | 42 | 38 | 39 |
| 5,000-9,999 | 18 | 27 | 18 | 39 | 31 | 33 |
| 10,000 and up | - 9 | 15 | 9 | 28 | 16 | 28 |
| Chi-square | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=162.87$ | $P^{2}=130.35$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=245.23$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=59.12$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=70.17$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=12.54$ |
| Significance | (.000) | (.000) | (.000) | (.000) | (.000) | (.014) |
| Region | $(\mathrm{n}=3069)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=3068)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=3068)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=3069)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=978)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=978)$ |
| Panhandle | 21 | 31 | 24 | 42 | 36 | 39 |
| North Central | 28 | 36 | 34 | 42 | 39 | 45 |
| South Central | 16 | 25 | 20 | 33 | 27 | 29 |
| Northeast | 19 | 25 | 21 | 33 | 29 | 34 |
| Southeast | 21 | 27 | 25 | 42 | 33 | 32 |
| Chi-square | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=25.26$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=22.43$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=35.53$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=26.14$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=7.93$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=11.56$ |
| Significance | (.000) | (.000) | (.000) | (.000) | (.094) | (.021) |
| Individual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attributes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Level | $(\mathrm{n}=2765)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=2764)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=2764)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=2765)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=882)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=882)$ |
| Under \$20,000 | 19 | 26 | 22 | 30 | 34 | 34 |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 22 | 29 | 26 | 35 | 38 | 39 |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 22 | 29 | 25 | 42 | 27 | 31 |
| \$60,000 and over | 20 | 30 | 25 | - 47 | 32 | 38 |
| Chi-square | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=3.51$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=2.54$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=2.50$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=46.45$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=6.95$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=3.75$ |
| Significance | (.319) | (.468) | (.476) | (.000) | (.073) | (.290) |
| Age | $(\mathrm{n}=3084)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=3083)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=3083)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=3084)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=981$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=981)$ |
| 19-29 | 23 | 33 | 29 | 48 | 5 | 9 |
| 30-39 | 21 | 35 | 30 | 43 | 24 | 30 |
| 40-49 | 27 | 33 | 31 | 48 | 40 | 40 |
| 50-64 | 22 | 26 | 23 | 41 | 43 | 46 |
| 65 and older | 13 | 21 | 17 | 21 | 16 | 24 |
| Chi-square | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=55.49$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=45.59$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=55.15$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=154.04$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=58.09$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=36.98$ |
| Significance | (.000) | (.000) | (.000) | (.000) | (.000) | $(.000)$ |
| Gender | ( $\mathrm{n}=3031$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=3030)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=3030$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=3031$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=935)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=935$ ) |
| Male | 23 | 30 | 29 | 48 | 37 | 38 |
| Female | P2 17 | 25 | 19 | P 26 | 28 | 31 |
| Chi-square | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=13.69$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=6.25$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=40.94$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=158.05$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=8.76$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=4.96$ |
| Significance | (.000) | (.013) | (.000) | (.000) | (.003) | (.028) |

Appendix Table 2 continued.

## Which of the following do you generally do?

|  | Leave your house unlocked at night | Leave your house unlocked when you leave | Leave your keys in your car | Walk alone at night | Let your children walk downtown alone* | Let your children walk to school alone* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marital Status | ( $\mathrm{n}=3031$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=3030$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=3030$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=3031$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=936$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=936$ ) |
| Married | 21 | 29 | 26 | 38 | 31 | 34 |
| Never married | 21 | 28 | 19 | 49 | 25 | 19 |
| Divorced/separated | 24 | 27 | 23 | 44 | 44 | 46 |
| Widowed | 10 | 18 | 11 | 19 | 40 | 40 |
| Chi-square | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=26.74$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=17.30$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=40.76$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=64.34$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=6.03$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=6.94$ |
| Significance | (.000) | (.001) | (.000) | (.000) | (.110) | (.074) |
| Education | ( $\mathrm{n}=3020$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=3019$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=3019)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=3020$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=934)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=934$ ) |
| No H.S. diploma | 15 | 19 | 17 | 28 | 16 | 32 |
| H.S. diploma | 20 | 26 | 22 | 30 | 39 | 35 |
| Some college | 20 | 29 | 26 | 38 | 34 | 38 |
| Bachelors/grad degree | 21 | 31 | 25 | 48 | 25 | 30 |
| Chi-square | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=4.26$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=15.73$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=8.41$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=64.26$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=14.42$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=4.84$ |
| Significance | (.235) | (.001) | (.038) | (.000) | (.002) | (.184) |
| Occupation | $(\mathrm{n}=1985)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=1985$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=1985)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=1985)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=824)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=824$ ) |
| Sales | 18 | 28 | 23 | 47 | 33 | 38 |
| Manual laborer | 24 | 23 | 21 | 38 | 34 | 25 |
| Prof/tech/admin | 20 | 30 | 24 | 47 | 31 | 37 |
| Service | 16 | 27 | 18 | 36 | 21 | 37 |
| Farming/ranching | 44 | 49 | 53 | 48 | 40 | 33 |
| Skilled laborer | 31 | 29 | 30 | 51 | 39 | 39 |
| Admin support | 27 | 28 | 26 | 39 | 35 | 38 |
| Chi-square | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=76.64$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=43.36$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=112.34$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=24.28$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=10.24$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=5.24$ |
| Significance | (.000) | (.000) | (.000) | (.001) | (.175) | (.631) |

[^2]|  | The threat of terrorism in rural areas is less than that in urban areas. <br> Don't <br> Disagree Know Agree |  |  | Significance | I feel safe today than <br> Disagree | my co <br> did five <br> Don't <br> Know | munity ars ago <br> Agree | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{n}=2915) \quad$ Percentages $\quad(\mathrm{n}=2906)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 | 14 | 15 | 71 |  | 52 | 32 | 16 |  |
| 500-999 | 16 | 14 | 71 |  | 51 | 30 | 19 |  |
| 1,000-4,999 | 14 | 18 | 69 |  | 54 | 27 | 19 |  |
| 5,000-9,999 | 18 | 17 | 66 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=8.87$ | 53 | 27 | 20 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=34.51$ |
| 10,000 and up | 16 | 16 | 68 | (.353) | 63 | 23 | 14 | (.000) |
| Region | ( $\mathrm{n}=2964$ ) |  |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2954$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Panhandle | 15 | 11 | 74 |  | 57 | 24 | 20 |  |
| North Central | 12 | 16 | 72 |  | 53 | 32 | 15 |  |
| South Central | 17 | 16 | 67 |  | 56 | 26 | 18 |  |
| Northeast | 16 | 19 | 65 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=18.21$ | 61 | 25 | 15 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=22.03$ |
| Southeast | 14 | 17 | 70 | (.020) | 51 | 30 | 20 | (.005) |
| Individual Attributes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Level | ( $\mathrm{n}=2699$ ) |  |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2693$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 17 | 24 | 60 |  | 52 | 30 | 18 |  |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 16 | 16 | 68 |  | 53 | 28 | 19 |  |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 16 | 13 | 72 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=64.57$ | 58 | 26 | 16 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=10.94$ |
| \$60,000 and over | 12 |  | 79 | (.000) | 60 | 26 | 15 | (.090) |
| Age | $(\mathrm{n}=2978)$ |  |  | $(\mathrm{n}=2969)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 19-29 | 15 | 18 | 68 |  | 53 | 34 | 13 |  |
| 30-39 | 16 | 14 | 71 |  | 53 | 32 | 15 |  |
| 40-49 | 16 | 14 | 70 |  | 61 | 23 | 15 |  |
| 50-64 | 17 | 14 | 70 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=34.11$ | 60 | 23 | 17 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=40.83$ |
| 65 and older | 13 | 22 | 65 | (.000) | 49 | 31 | 20 | (.000) |
| Gender | $(\mathrm{n}=2935)$ |  |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2927$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 12 | 13 | 75 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=54.46$ | 52 | 29 | 19 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=18.21$ |
| Female | 19 | 19 | 62 | (.000) | 60 |  | 16 | (.000) |
| Education | $(\mathrm{n}=2925)$ |  |  | $(\mathrm{n}=2917)$ |  |  |  |  |
| No H.S. diploma | 21 | 32 | 47 |  | 46 | 34 | 20 |  |
| High school diploma | 16 | 21 | 63 |  | 54 | 27 | 20 |  |
| Some college | 15 | 14 | 71 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=107.58$ | 58 | 27 | 15 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=17.79$ |
| Bachelors or grad degree | 13 | 9 | 79 | (.000) | 58 | 27 | 15 | (.007) |
| Marital Status | $(\mathrm{n}=2935)$ |  |  | $(\mathrm{n}=2927)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 14 | 14 | 71 |  | 57 | 26 | 16 |  |
| Never married | 18 | 15 | 67 |  | 52 | 28 | 21 |  |
| Divorced/separated | 17 | 19 | 64 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=46.96$ | 55 | 31 | 14 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=12.61$ |
| Widowed | $17\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ( } ~=~ 1960) ~\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | (.000) | 50 | 28 | 22 | (.050) |
| Occupation |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{n}=1954)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sales | 13 | 9 | 78 |  | 62 | 22 | 16 |  |
| Manual laborer | 14 | 22 | 64 |  | 58 | 27 | 15 |  |
| Prof./technical/admin | 15 | 12 | 74 |  | 60 | 26 | 14 |  |
| Service | 18 | 18 | 65 |  | 58 | 26 | 17 |  |
| Farming/ranching | 14 | 14 | 73 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=35.71$ | 52 | 34 | 15 |  |
| Skilled laborer | 21 | 9 | 70 |  | 58 | 26 | 16 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=12.98$ |
| Admin. support | 20 | 12 | 68 | (.001) | 60 | 22 | 18 | (.528) |



|  | Theft or burglary is a problem in my community. Don't |  |  |  | Drugs are a problem in my community. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Perce |  |  |  |  |
| Community Size |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2881$ ) |  |  |  | =2904) |  |  |
| Less than 500 | 43 | 21 | 36 |  | 21 | 23 | 56 |  |
| 500-999 | 44 | 24 | 32 |  | 13 | 26 | 61 |  |
| 1,000-4,999 | 37 | 28 | 36 |  | 7 | 16 | 78 |  |
| 5,000-9,999 | 30 | 23 | 47 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=218.72$ | 7 | 12 | 81 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=235.49$ |
| 10,000 and up | 18 | 18 | 64 | (.000) | 4 | 9 | 87 | (.000) |
| Region |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2927$ ) |  |  |  | = 2951) |  |  |
| Panhandle | 30 | 22 | 48 |  | 9 | 14 | 78 |  |
| North Central | 40 | 23 | 37 |  | 10 | 19 | 72 |  |
| South Central | 27 | 21 | 53 |  | 7 | 12 | 81 |  |
| Northeast | 29 | 22 | 48 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=47.02$ | 7 | 17 | 76 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=29.22$ |
| Southeast | 35 | 26 | 39 | (.000) | 11 | 19 | 71 | (.000) |
| Individual Attributes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Level |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2669$ ) |  |  |  | 2686) |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 27 | 27 | 46 |  | 9 | 22 | 69 |  |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 33 | 21 | 46 |  | 8 | 15 | 77 |  |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 30 | 22 | 48 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=18.05$ | 8 | 12 | 80 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=34.08$ |
| \$60,000 and over | 35 | 18 | 47 | (.006) | 8 | 11 | 80 | (.000) |
| Age |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2942$ ) |  |  |  | = 2966) |  |  |
| 19-29 | 34 | 27 | 40 |  | 9 | 20 | 71 |  |
| 30-39 | 36 | 21 | 42 |  | 10 | 16 | 74 |  |
| 40-49 | 31 | 21 | 48 |  | 9 | 9 | 81 |  |
| 50-64 | 29 | 21 | 50 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=15.86$ | 7 | 14 | 80 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=47.94$ |
| 65 and older | 30 | 25 | 45 | (.044) | 8 | 21 | 71 | (.000) |
| Gender |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2899$ ) |  |  |  | =2923) |  |  |
| Male | 32 | 22 | 46 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=1.63$ | 9 | 14 | 77 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=3.94$ |
| Female | 30 | 23 | 46 | (.442) | 8 | 17 | 76 | (.140) |
| Education |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2891$ ) |  |  |  | 2914) |  |  |
| No H.S. diploma | 31 | 27 | 42 |  | 9 | 23 | 68 |  |
| High school diploma | 31 | 24 | 45 |  | 10 | 18 | 73 |  |
| Some college | 30 | 21 | 48 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=5.98$ | 8 | 15 | 77 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=26.01$ |
| Bachelors or grad degree | 32 | 21 | 47 | (.426) | 7 | 12 | 81 | (.000) |
| Marital Status |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2900$ ) |  |  |  | = 2924) |  |  |
| Married | 33 | 21 | 46 |  | 9 | 14 | 77 |  |
| Never married | 29 | 27 | 44 |  | 9 | 21 | 70 |  |
| Divorced/separated | 24 | 23 | 53 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=21.32$ | 7 | 15 | 78 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=35.45$ |
| Widowed | 26 | 28 | 46 | (.002) | 5 | 25 | 70 | (.000) |
| Occupation |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=1947$ ) |  |  |  | 1953) |  |  |
| Sales | 33 | 21 | 46 |  | 6 | 14 | 80 |  |
| Manual laborer | 28 | 27 | 45 |  | 11 | 20 | 69 |  |
| Prof./technical/admin | 32 | 21 | 47 |  | 6 | 12 | 82 |  |
| Service | 31 | 20 | 49 |  | 8 | 12 | 80 |  |
| Farming/ranching | 33 | 25 | 41 |  | 10 | 15 | 75 |  |
| Skilled laborer | 28 | 21 | 51 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=15.66$ | 11 | 15 | 74 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=24.17$ |
| Admin. support | 42 | 17 | 41 | (.335) | 6 | 8 | 86 | (.044) |


|  | Juvenile delinquency is a problem in my community. Don't |  |  |  | Violent crime is a problem in my community. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Disagree | Don't <br> Know |  | Significance | Disagree | Don't <br> Know | Agree | Significance |
|  | Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Size | ( $\mathrm{n}=2881$ ) |  |  |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2866$ ) |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 | 36 | 31 | 33 |  | 72 | 21 | 6 |  |
| 500-999 | 30 | 36 | 33 |  | 74 | 19 | 7 |  |
| 1,000-4,999 | 21 | 35 | 44 |  | 65 | 26 | 9 |  |
| 5,000-9,999 | 17 | 29 | 54 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=249.80$ | 60 | 25 | 15 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=513.73$ |
| 10,000 and up | 10 | 24 | 66 | (.000) | 34 | 22 | 44 | (.000) |
| Region | ( $\mathrm{n}=2929$ ) |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{n}=2909)$ |  |  |  |
| Panhandle | 17 | 24 | 59 |  | 50 | 25 | 25 |  |
| North Central | 26 | 27 | 46 |  | 61 | 22 | 18 |  |
| South Central | 18 | 29 | 53 |  | 51 | 23 | 26 |  |
| Northeast | 18 | 34 | 48 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=37.93$ | 55 | 21 | 24 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=82.83$ |
| Southeast | 22 | 34 | 44 | (.000) | 63 | 28 | 9 | (.000) |
| Individual Attributes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Level | ( $\mathrm{n}=2670$ ) |  |  |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2652$ ) |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 18 | 36 | 46 |  | 51 | 27 | 22 |  |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 20 | 29 | 51 |  | 57 | 24 | 19 |  |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 19 | 27 | 54 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=24.81$ | 56 | 23 | 21 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=19.08$ |
| \$60,000 and over | 23 | 23 | 54 | (.000) | 60 | 18 | 23 | (.004) |
| Age | $(\mathrm{n}=2944)$ |  |  |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2923$ ) |  |  |  |
| 19-29 | 12 | 30 | 58 |  | 56 | 25 | 19 |  |
| 30-39 | 21 | 28 | 51 |  | 61 | 20 | 19 |  |
| 40-49 | 21 | 23 | 57 |  | 57 | 21 | 21 |  |
| 50-64 | 21 | 28 | 51 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=68.15$ | 57 | 21 | 22 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=26.08$ |
| 65 and older | $(\mathrm{n}=2901)$ |  |  | (.000) | 51 | 29 | 21 | (.001) |
| Gender |  |  |  |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=2881$ ) |  |  |  |
| Male | 21 | 29 | 50 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=1.86$ | 55 | 24 | 21 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=1.32$ |
| Female | 19 | 31 | 50 | (.394) | 56 | 22 | 22 | (.517) |
| Education | ( $\mathrm{n}=2894$ ) |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{n}=2874)$ |  |  |  |
| No H.S. diploma | 16 | 42 | 42 |  | 47 | 30 | 23 |  |
| High school diploma | 20 | 33 | 47 |  | 54 | 26 | 20 |  |
| Some college | 20 | 29 | 52 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=24.94$ | 55 | 23 | 22 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=24.08$ |
| Bachelors or grad degree | 20 | 26 | 54 | (.000) | 61 | 18 | 21 | (.001) |
| Marital Status | ( $\mathrm{n}=2903$ ) |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{n}=2882)$ |  |  |  |
| Married | 22 | 29 | 49 |  | 58 | 21 | 21 |  |
| Never married | 15 | 25 | 60 |  | 52 | 30 | 18 |  |
| Divorced/separated | 15 | 31 | 55 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=35.92$ | 49 | 25 | 26 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=24.65$ |
| Widowed | 15 | 41 | 44 | (.000) | 48 | 30 | 22 | (.000) |
| Occupation | ( $\mathrm{n}=1946$ ) |  |  |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=1938$ ) |  |  |  |
| Sales | 18 | 35 | 48 |  | 60 | 23 | 17 |  |
| Manual laborer | 24 | 26 | 51 |  | 51 | 29 | 20 |  |
| Prof./technical/admin | 19 | 24 | 57 |  | 59 | 20 | 22 |  |
| Service | 19 | 25 | 56 |  | 55 | 22 | 23 |  |
| Farming/ranching | 26 | 33 | 40 |  | 63 | 24 | 13 |  |
| Skilled laborer | 18 | 29 | 54 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=31.10$ | 56 | 18 | 26 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=23.25$ |
| Admin. support | 22 | 24 | 54 | (.005) | 60 | 20 | 20 | (.056) |


|  | When I am away from home, I count on my neighbors to watch my property. <br> Don't |  |  | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | tages |  |
| Community Size |  | 2911) |  |  |
| Less than 500 | 18 | 12 | 70 |  |
| 500-999 | 17 | 9 | 74 |  |
| 1,000-4,999 | 18 | 13 | 70 |  |
| 5,000-9,999 | 20 | 8 | 72 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=15.53$ |
| 10,000 and up | 17 | 8 | 75 | (.050) |
| Region |  | =2959) |  |  |
| Panhandle | 21 | 7 | 72 |  |
| North Central | 18 | 9 | 73 |  |
| South Central | 17 | 10 | 73 |  |
| Northeast | 15 | 10 | 74 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=16.14$ |
| Southeast | 20 | 13 | 67 | (.040) |
| Individual Attributes: |  |  |  |  |
| Income Level |  | = 2696) |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 20 | 12 | 69 |  |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 18 | 11 | 71 |  |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 18 | 9 | 74 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=13.82$ |
| \$60,000 and over | 16 | 7 | 77 | (.032) |
| Age |  | = 2974) |  |  |
| 19-29 | 27 | 9 | 64 |  |
| 30-39 | 22 | 8 | 70 |  |
| 40-49 | 21 | 12 | 68 |  |
| 50-64 | 16 | 10 | 74 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=35.22$ |
| 65 and older | 13 | 11 | 76 | (.000) |
| Gender |  | = 2933) |  |  |
| Male | 16 | 11 | 74 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=8.90$ |
| Female | 20 | 10 | 70 | (.012) |
| Education |  | = 2923) |  |  |
| No H.S. diploma | 17 | 10 | 74 |  |
| High school diploma | 17 | 12 | 71 |  |
| Some college | 19 | 10 | 71 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=12.81$ |
| Bachelors or grad degree | 18 | 8 | 75 | (.046) |
| Marital Status |  | = 2932) |  |  |
| Married | 17 | 9 | 74 |  |
| Never married | 26 | 13 | 60 |  |
| Divorced/separated | 21 | 17 | 62 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=37.66$ |
| Widowed | 16 | 9 | 75 | (.000) |
| Occupation |  | 1955) |  |  |
| Sales | 21 | 10 | 69 |  |
| Manual laborer | 28 | 13 | 59 |  |
| Prof./technical/admin | 17 | 7 | 76 |  |
| Service | 23 | 10 | 67 |  |
| Farming/ranching | 11 | 10 | 80 |  |
| Skilled laborer | 16 | 12 | 73 | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=44.32$ |
| Admin. support | 24 | 9 | 68 | (.000) |

Appendix Table 4. Safety Precautions Taken in Last Five Years by Community Size, Region and Individual Attributes.

## Which of the following items have you done in the last five years because you were concerned about crime?

|  | Limited the times or places you will go by yourself | Improved your home security | Stayed inside your house more often | Moved to a different community or neighborhood | Avoided contact with people of another race | Purchased a hand gun | Enrolled in a selfdefense class | Got a watchdog |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community Size | ( $\mathrm{n}=2843$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2840$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2839$ ) | Percent circlin $(\mathrm{n}=2840)$ | $g$ each item $(\mathrm{n}=2840)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=2840$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2840$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2840$ ) |
| Less than 500 | 24 | 24 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 12 |
| 500-999 | 19 | 23 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 10 |
| 1,000-4,999 | 26 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 8 |
| 5,000-9,999 | 26 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| 10,000 and up | 37 | 29 | 15 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Chi-square (sig.) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=52.38$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=17.91$ (.001) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=31.46$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=7.99$ (.092) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=21.62$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=5.94$ (.204) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=0.66$ (.956) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=4.63$ (.328) |
| Region | $(\mathrm{n}=2895)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=2892$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2891$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2892$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=2892)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=2892$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2892$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2892$ ) |
| Panhandle | 19 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| North Central | 24 | 20 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 10 |
| South Central | 32 | 25 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Northeast | 33 | 27 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 0* | 9 |
| Southeast | 28 | 24 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Chi-square (sig.) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=29.85$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=9.13$ (.058) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=8.30$ (.081) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=2.40$ (.662) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=17.67$ (.001) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=2.60$ (.627) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=25.44$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=2.68$ (.612) |

Individual
Attributes:
Income Level
Under $\$ 20,000$
$\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$
$\$ 40,000-\$ 59,999$
$\$ 60,000$ and over
Chi-square (sig.)

| $(\mathrm{n}=2626)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=2624)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 32 | 23 |
| 29 | 24 |
| 26 | 26 |
| 27 | 22 |
| $\mathrm{P}^{2}=6.71(.082)$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=3.32(.345)$ |

$(\mathrm{n}=2624)$
18
10
8
7
$\mathrm{P}^{2}=43.70(.000)$
$(\mathrm{n}=2624)$
4
4
3
5
$\mathrm{P}^{2}=3.50(.321)$
$(\mathrm{n}=2624)$
7
5
6
7
$\mathrm{P}^{2}=2.59(459)$
( $\mathrm{n}=2624$ )
( $\mathrm{n}=2624$ )
$(\mathrm{n}=2624)$
10
$(\mathrm{n}=2864) \quad(\mathrm{n}=2861)$

| $(\mathrm{n}=2860)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=2861)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 4 |
| 13 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{P}^{2}=18.83(.000)$ | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=0.36(.564)$ |

$(\mathrm{n}=2861)$
8
4
$\mathrm{P}^{2}=25.61(.000)$
$(\mathrm{n}=2861)$
8
2
$\mathrm{P}^{2}=54.94(.000)$
$(\mathrm{n}=2861)$
1
1
$\mathrm{P}^{2}=0.57(.499)$
$(\mathrm{n}=2861)$
9
9
$\mathrm{P}^{2}=0.26(.650)$

Appendix Table 4 Continued.

| Which of the following items have you done in the last five years because you were concerned about crime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited the times or places you will go by yourself | Improved your home security | Stayed inside your house more often | Moved to a different community or neighborhood | Avoided contact with people of another race | Purchased a <br> hand gun | Enrolled in a selfdefense class | Got a watchdog |
| Age | ( $\mathrm{n}=2909$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2906$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2905$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2906$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2906$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2906$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2906$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2906$ ) |
| 19-29 | 33 | 20 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 13 |
| 30-39 | 30 | 23 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
| 40-49 | 26 | 23 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 12 |
| 50-64 | 29 | 26 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 9 |
| 65 and older | 30 | 24 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0* | 5 |
| Chi-square (sig.) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=5.00$ (.287) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=4.89$ (.299) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=23.42$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=42.31$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=7.81$ (.099) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=16.21$ (.003) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=14.00$ (.007) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=26.67$ (.000) |
| Marital Status | ( $\mathrm{n}=2866$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2863$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2862$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2863$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2863$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2863$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2863$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2863$ ) |
| Married | 27 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Never married | 25 | 20 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 7 |
| Divorced/separated | 30 | 23 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 12 |
| Widowed | 42 | 27 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 |
| Chi-square (sig.) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=28.74$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=3.68$ (.299) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=41.25$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=1.48$ (.687) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=5.31$ (.150) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=3.31$ (.346) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=2.46$ (.482) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=3.84$ (.279) |
| Education | ( $\mathrm{n}=2853$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2850$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2849$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2850$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=2850)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=2850$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2850$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=2850$ ) |
| No H.S. diploma | 33 | 28 | 21 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| H.S. diploma | 27 | 26 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 9 |
| Some college | 30 | 25 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 11 |
| Bachelors/grad degree | 29 | 19 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| Chi-square (sig.) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=4.26$ (.235) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=13.05$ (.005) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=31.23$ (.000) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=6.30$ (.098) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=1.26$ (.739) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=2.27$ (.518) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=5.10$ (.164) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=8.41$ (.038) |
| Occupation | $(\mathrm{n}=1910)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=1910$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=1909$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=1910$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=1910)$ | ( $\mathrm{n}=1910$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=1910)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=1910)$ |
| Sales | 31 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| Manual laborer | 26 | 26 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 13 |
| Prof/tech/admin | 29 | 23 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 9 |
| Service | 30 | 26 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 11 |
| Farming/ranching | 17 | 18 | 4 | 0* | 8 | 10 | 1 | 15 |
| Skilled laborer | 21 | 33 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 10 |
| Admin support | 37 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| Chi-square (sig.) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=25.26$ (.001) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=16.32$ (.022) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=14.77$ (.039) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=13.23$ (.067) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=7.98$ (.335) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=22.63$ (.002) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=23.11$ (.002) | $\mathrm{P}^{2}=10.87$ (.144) |

$\overline{0^{*}=}$ Less than 1 percent.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: "New Residents to Nebraska: Who Are They and Why Are They Here?", Center for Rural Community Revitalization and Development Working Paper.
    ${ }^{2}$ Source: "Drugs in the Heartland: Methamphetamine Use in Rural Nebraska," Research in Brief by the National Institute of Justice.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Appendix Table 1 also includes demographic data from previous rural polls, as well as similar data based on the entire non-metropolitan population of Nebraska (using 2000 U.S. Census data).

[^2]:    * For these two items, only the respondents with children under 19 years of age living in their home are included in the tables.

